

**The Conflict Resolution Break Out Group recommends the following next steps:**

- 1) Working Group develop an issue list utilizing the preliminary issues developed by the Break Out Group and prioritize these potential issues.
- 2) Working Group take the recommended 3 top priority issues and determine the appropriate approach to address each potential issue: Expert panels / Workshops / Conflict Resolution Protocols. Or a status update if an issue is already involved in a resolution process.
- 3) Working Group take the recommended issues and approaches to the Task Force for input and approval at the January Task Force meeting.

The Conflict Resolution Break Out group identified about 12 of the most important potential conflict issues and list priority issues:

- Reservations of water/ consumptive use permits, programmatic regulations
- Assurances
- Flood control
- Water supply
- Water quality \*
- Understanding better how RECOVER interfaces with the PIR process and RECOVER's role
- Streamline the Project Implementation Process
- CERP implementation schedule flexibility – acceleration/delays
- Coordinate outreach strategy among member agencies
- Land use/ Urban Sprawl Goals 3 issues
- Science must be made the foundation of restoration
- What is restoration – is it a value decision

The Conflict Resolution Break Out group also identified 5 other priority issues:

- Long term funding
- Local government Land Acquisition and/or other funding
- Water supply, water use during interim period before CERP comes online (SFWMD Water supply Contingency Plan, Water Supply Plans, Minimum Flows and Levels)
- Exotics control/eradication/ land management
- How do we plan for the future after the 50 year CERP planning horizon?

\*But see Working Group Charter