

**TASK FORCE MEMBER EVALUATION SUMMARIES**  
**FROM THE**  
**SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION TASK FORCE MEETING**  
**May 21 – 22, 2008**

(13 member forms received)

	<u>Agree</u> 				<u>Disagree</u> 	
<b>WERE THE MEETING OBJECTIVES MET?</b>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	
<b>Regular Task Force Meeting – First Day</b>						
◇ To have the opportunity to better understand and provide comments on the Tamiami Trail Limited Reevaluation Report	4	5	1	2		0=3.92
◇ To conduct an Integrated Delivery Schedule Workshop	3	5	0	3		1=3.50
<b>Regular Task Force Meeting – Second Day</b>						
◇ To be consulted on the L-31N (L-30) Seepage Management Draft Pilot Project Design Report	4	7	2	0		0=4.15
◇ To be consulted on the Winsberg Farms Wetlands Restoration Draft PIR	4	7	2	0		0=4.15
◇ To have the Dialogue with the Working Group and Science Coordination Group on selected topics	4	7	2	0		0=4.15
<b>MEETING ORGANIZATION</b>						
❖ The revised agenda format was helpful and effective	4	6	0	1		0=4.18
❖ The read ahead materials were timely and helpful	8	4	0	0		0=4.67
❖ The meeting times and length were appropriate	5	5	1	1		0=4.17
❖ Participation was balanced among members	3	5	3	1		0=3.83
❖ Participation was balanced between members and the public	4	5	2	0		0=4.18
❖ The meeting facility was adequate	8	4	0	0		0=4.67
❖ The field trip was helpful and effective	6	2	1	0		0=4.55

**Were you able to attend the field trip?**

6 members responded yes; 4 responded no and 3 members provided no response

**What did you like best about the meeting?**

- Open and honest discussion
- Opportunity to dialogue
- Healthy discussions
- Dialogue
- Level of interaction
- Dialogue between the Task Force members is great
- Open dialogue
- IDS Workshop discussion

**What could be improved?**

- Focus on controversial issues; don't waste time on process; let's make progress
- Save energy/carbon – make room warmer
- Determine the objective (have presenters state what they want from the Task Force prior to their presentation)

**Other Comments:**

- Putting presentations into context; sometimes we assume that the public and the members know the context.
- Good meeting
- Great to get materials early
- As a relatively new attendee to these meetings, I remain impressed as to the progress that has been made
- I am not sure there was a lot gained by having a demonstration of the IDS tool/excel spreadsheet. Members don't use the tool and we need simply to be given several options to make substantive changes, rather than focus on the analytical tools that are used to generate options. When focus was shifted more to priority projects that was more productive. As a newcomer, I couldn't tell even whether there is any disagreement on prioritizing the current and expedited projects as the focus for the next ten years. If there isn't, we ought just to adopt that as the IDS for the next ten years.

**PUBLIC MEMBERS' MEETING EVALUATION AND COMMENT SUMMARIES**  
**FROM**  
**SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION TASK FORCE MEETING**  
**May 21 – 22, 2008**

(3 Responses Received)

	<u>Agree</u> ☺		☹	<u>Disagree</u> ☹	
<b>WERE THE FIELD TRIP OBJECTIVES MET?</b>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
◇ To see firsthand the Stormwater Treatment Areas 1 East and West	0	0	0	0	0=0

**Were you able to attend the field trip?**  
 No answer provided

	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
<b>MEETING ORGANIZATION</b>					
❖ The STAs 1 East and West field trip was helpful and effective	0	0	0	0	0=0
❖ The meeting times and length were appropriate	2	0	0	0	0=5.0
❖ Participation was balanced between members and the public	1	1	0	0	0=4.5
❖ The meeting facility was adequate	1	0	1	0	0=4.0

- What did you like best about the meeting?**
- Discussion of possibilities for CERP funding
  - I really liked that public comment was after each agenda item

- What suggestions do you have for improvements?**
- Interactive public and Task Force discussion
  - Short presentations on specific projects
  - A professional facilitator to help direct discussion and criteria choosing IDS priorities.

**Other Comments**  
 None