

TASK FORCE MEMBER EVALUATION SUMMARIES
FROM
SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION TASK FORCE MEETING
December 5 - 6, 2007

(8 member forms received)

	<u>Agree</u> 				<u>Disagree</u> 	
WERE THE MEETING OBJECTIVES MET?	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	
Joint Session with the Water Resources Advisory Commission – First Day						
◇ To receive an update on Biscayne Bay Related Projects	1	4	3	0	0	3.75
◇ To receive an update on Tamiami Trail, Modified Water Deliveries Limited Re-Evaluation Report Alternatives	4	4	0	0	0	4.5
◇ To receive an update on the Integrated Delivery Schedule	1	2	3	1	1	3.125
Regular Task Force Meeting – Second Day						
◇ To receive the report and panel presentation and discuss the Avian Ecology Workshop Report	3	4	0	1	0	4.125
◇ To accept the final Strategy Recommendations	3	3	2	0	0	4.125
◇ To receive an update on the Plan to Coordinate Science and System-wide Indicators	0	3	4	1	0	3.25
◇ To review next steps and provide guidance to the Executive Director and Sub-groups	0	3	1	1	1	3.0
MEETING ORGANIZATION						
❖ Joint Session with the WRAC was helpful and effective	1	2	4	1	0	3.375
❖ The Biscayne Bay field trip was helpful and effective	2	2	0	0	2	3.333
❖ The meeting times and length were appropriate	1	3	3	1	0	3.5
❖ Participation was balanced among members	3	2	1	1	1	3.625
❖ Participation was balanced between members and the public	3	2	3	0	0	4.0
❖ The meeting facility was adequate	2	4	2	0	0	4.0

Were you able to attend the field trip?

Five members responded yes; two responded no and one member did not answer question

What did you like best about the meeting?

- Speed of covering agenda
- Clarity
- Chance to discuss issues

What could be improved?

- Added hour on each side of agenda
- TF/WRAC mix isn't working
- Better coordination, integration of WRAC; support Chair – Mike Collins' effort to explore other formats
- Coordinated single mail-out of read-ahead materials
- Warm up room – save energy
- Provide cookies, snacks, etc

Other Comments:

- Early read-ahead – some briefings provided late
- Struck by the imbalance between 'updates' and 'action items' or active discussion time between members. Have trouble deciphering much progress/leadership that the TF is providing because meetings are so 'passive' i.e. info being provided but not much going back from TF. Suggest fewer topics per meeting but more discussion to lead to consensus or leadership judgements.